

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**NOTICE OF HEARING SESSION**

Pursuant to the order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation filed today, notice is hereby given that a hearing session has been scheduled to consider various matters under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

DATE OF HEARING SESSION: **September 25, 2025**

LOCATION OF HEARING SESSION: Santiago E. Campus U.S. Courthouse  
Martha Vázquez Historical Courtroom, 2nd Floor  
106 South Federal Place  
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

TIME OF HEARING SESSION: In those matters designated for oral argument, counsel requesting oral argument must be present at **8:00 a.m.** in order for the Panel to allocate the amount of time for oral argument. Oral argument will commence at **9:30 a.m.**

SCHEDULED MATTERS: Matters scheduled for consideration at this hearing session are listed on the enclosed Hearing Session Order and Schedule of Matters for Hearing Session.

- Section A of this Schedule lists the matters designated for oral argument and includes all actions encompassed by Motion(s) for Transfer filed pursuant to Rules 6.1 and 6.2. Any party waiving oral argument pursuant to Rule 11.1(d) need not attend the Hearing Session.
- Section B of this Schedule lists the matters that the Panel has determined to consider **without oral argument**, pursuant to Rule 11.1(c). Parties and counsel involved in these matters need not attend the Hearing Session.

ORAL ARGUMENT:


- The Panel carefully considers the positions advocated in filings with the Panel when it allocates time to attorneys presenting oral argument. The Panel, therefore, expects attorneys to adhere to those positions including those concerning an appropriate transferee district. Any change in position should be conveyed to Panel staff before the beginning of oral argument. Where an attorney thereafter advocates a position different from that conveyed to Panel staff, the Panel may reduce the allotted argument time and decline to hear further from that attorney.

-2-

- The Panel expects attorneys presenting oral argument to be prepared to discuss what steps they have taken to pursue alternatives to centralization including, but not limited to, engaging in informal coordination of discovery and scheduling, and seeking Section 1404 transfer of one or more of the subject cases.
- A transcript of the oral argument will be filed in each docket when it becomes available. Parties who wish to order a transcript may obtain the court reporter's contact information from the court reporter at the hearing or from the Panel at 202-502-2800 following the hearing.

For those matters listed on Section A of the Schedule, the "Notice of Presentation or Waiver of Oral Argument" must be filed in this office no later than **September 2, 2025**. The procedures governing Panel oral argument (Panel Rule 11.1) are attached. The Panel strictly adheres to these procedures.

FOR THE PANEL:

  
James V. Ingold  
Clerk of the Panel

cc: Clerk, United States District for the District of New Mexico

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**HEARING SESSION ORDER**

The Panel issues the following orders in connection with its next hearing session,

IT IS ORDERED that on September 25, 2025, the Panel will convene a hearing session in Santa Fe, New Mexico, to consider the matters on the attached Schedule under 28 U.S.C. § 1407.


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel may, on its own initiative, consider transfer of any or all of the actions in those matters to any district or districts.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will hear oral argument on the matters listed on Section A of the attached Schedule, unless the parties waive oral argument or unless the Panel later decides to dispense with oral argument pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Panel will consider without oral argument the matters listed on Section B of the attached Schedule pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(c). The Panel reserves the prerogative, on any basis including submissions of parties pursuant to Panel Rule 11.1(b), to designate any of those matters for oral argument.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation shall direct notice of this hearing session to counsel for all parties involved in the matters on the attached Schedule.

PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION



---

Karen K. Caldwell

Chair

Nathaniel M. Gorton  
David C. Norton  
Dale A. Kimball

Matthew F. Kennelly  
Roger T. Benitez  
Madeline Cox Arleo

SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION  
September 25, 2025 — Santa Fe, New Mexico

**SECTION A**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

(This schedule contains only those civil actions listed in the Schedule(s) of Actions submitted with the docketed motion(s) for transfer. See Panel Rules 6.1 and 6.2. In the event these dockets are centralized, other actions of which the Panel has been informed may be subject to transfer pursuant to Panel Rule 7.1.)

**MDL No. 3160 – IN RE: ARCHERY PRODUCTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff Shawn Butter, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania:

District of Minnesota

BABST v. ARCHERY TRADE ASSOCIATION, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:25–02721  
JANOCHOSKI v. HOYT ARCHERY, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:25–02788  
SIMCIK v. ARCHERY TRADE ASSOCIATION, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 0:25–02875

Western District of Missouri

DUNKIN v. BOWTECH, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 4:25–00546

Western District of Pennsylvania

BUTTER v. ARCHERY TRADE ASSOCIATION, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:25–01004

Middle District of Tennessee

HANSEN v. ARCHERY TRADE ASSOCIATION, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 3:25–00779

District of Utah

SANTARLAS v. BOWTECH, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:25–00436

**MDL No. 3161 – IN RE: CCELL CLOSED CANNABIS OIL VAPORIZATION SYSTEMS  
AND COMPONENTS PRODUCTS LITIGATION**

Motion of plaintiff B.Z. to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Northern District of California

IN RE CLOSED CANNABIS OIL VAPORIZER SYSTEMS AND COMPONENTS  
INDIRECT PURCHASER ANTITRUST LITIGATION, C.A. No. 3:24-09090  
IN RE CLOSED CANNABIS OIL VAPORIZER SYSTEMS AND COMPONENTS  
DIRECT PURCHASER ANTITRUST LITIGATION, C.A. No. 3:25-01428

Southern District of Florida

RUKEYSER v. SHENZHEN SMOORE TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, LTD., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:25-14238

Northern District of Illinois

B.Z. v. SHENZHEN SMOORE TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, LTD., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 1:25-07482

District of Nevada

M. v. SHENZHEN SMOORE TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, LTD, ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:25-01163

**SECTION B**  
**MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT**

**MDL No. 2832 – IN RE: LIQUID TOPPINGS DISPENSING SYSTEM ('447) PATENT  
LITIGATION**

Opposition of defendants Dean Messier, Lori Messier, Al Liu, Renzo Silva-Romero, Virginia Hocking, Robert Hocking, P&P Hocking LLC, Renee Bailey, Scott Bailey, Jareth Navarre, Timothy Snee, Terry Snee, T-N-T Ice LLC, Brad Bumgarner, Sean O'Connor, Rikki O'Connor, Howard Hale, Fun Time Foods, LLC, Kelly Hodgson, Derek Hodgson, Brandon Crowder, Juliette Crozier, J&B Icescapes, LLC, Oline Detavernier, Jo Detavernier, and JOT Enterprises, LLC, to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following actions to their respective transferor courts:

Southern District of Florida

- KONA ICE, INC. v. MESSIER, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–21402 (D. Arizona, C.A. No. 2:17-03298)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. LIU, C.A. No. 1:18–21403 (D. Colorado, C.A. No. 1:17–02301)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. SILVA–ROMERO, C.A. No. 1:18–21404 (D. Colorado, C.A. No. 1:17–02302)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. HOCKING, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–22850 (M.D. Florida, C.A. No. 3:18–00646)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. BAILEY, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–21406 (N.D. Florida, C.A. No. 3:17–00698)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. NAVARRE, C.A. No. 1:18–21410 (W.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 2:17–01208)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. SNEE, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–21408 (D. Maryland, C.A. No. 1:17–02809)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. BUMGARNER, C.A. No. 1:18–21405 (W.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 3:17–00563)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. O'CONNOR, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–22828 (S.D. Ohio, C.A. No. 1:18–00409)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. HALE, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–22799 (E.D. Tennessee, C.A. No. 1:18–00041)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. HODGSON, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–21407 (E.D. Texas, C.A. No. 4:17–00667)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. CROWDER, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–21409 (S.D. Texas, C.A. No. 4:17–02837)
- KONA ICE, INC. v. DETAVERNIER, ET AL., C.A. No. 1:18–21411 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 5:17–00931)

**MDL No. 2846 – IN RE: DAVOL, INC./C.R. BARD, INC., POLYPROPYLENE HERNIA  
MESH PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Angela Krinn and defendants Alysandra Lal, M.D.; The Medical Protective Company; Columbia St Mary's Hospital Milwaukee, Inc.; and Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio:

Eastern District of Wisconsin

KRINN v. CR BARD, INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 2:25–00844

**MDL No. 2873 – IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM–FORMING FOAMS PRODUCTS LIABILITY  
LITIGATION**

Motion of defendant 3M Company to transfer of the Middle District of Alabama action to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, opposition of defendants Incorporated Village of East Hampton and Lawrence Franzone Training Center, Inc., to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the *Town of East Hampton* action to its respective transferor court, and opposition of plaintiffs Marathon Petroleum Company LP and Tesoro Refining & Marketing Company LLC to transfer of the Western District of Washington action to the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina:

Middle District of Alabama

THE WATER WORKS BOARD OF THE CITY OF OPELIKA, ALABAMA v. 3M  
COMPANY, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:25–00411

District of South Carolina

TOWN OF EAST HAMPTON v. INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF EAST HAMPTON,  
C.A. No. 2:20–01665 (E.D. New York, C.A. No. 2:20-01787)

Western District of Washington

MARATHON PETROLEUM COMPANY LP, ET AL. v. ARKEMA, INC., ET AL.,  
C.A. No. 2:25–01250

**MDL No. 3026 – IN RE: ABBOTT LABORATORIES, ET AL., PRETERM INFANT  
NUTRITION PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Shawnteshia Hobson to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

Southern District of Illinois

HOBSON v. MEAD JOHNSON & COMPANY, LLC, ET AL., C.A. No. 3:25–01336

**MDL No. 3036 – IN RE: GARDASIL PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Oppositions of plaintiffs London Melvin, et al., and Janiera Boone, et al., to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the Western District of North Carolina:

District of New Jersey

MELVIN, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:25–06212  
BOONE, ET AL. v. MERCK & CO., INC., ET AL., C.A. No. 1:25–13230

**MDL No. 3052 – IN RE: KIA HYUNDAI VEHICLE THEFT MARKETING, SALES  
PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiff Susan Lloyd to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Central District of California:

Eastern District of Pennsylvania

LLOYD v. KIA AMERICA, INC., C.A. No. 5:25–03602

**MDL No. 3114 – IN RE: AT&T INC. CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH  
LITIGATION**

Opposition of plaintiffs Sharon Martin, Ann Green, Kim Terrinoni, and Laura Waclawik to transfer of the following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas:

Southern District of Florida

MARTIN v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, C.A. No. 1:25–22542  
GREEN v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, C.A. No. 1:25–22545  
TERRINONI v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, C.A. No. 1:25–22546  
WACLAWIK v. AT&T MOBILITY LLC, C.A. No. 1:25–22556

## RULE 11.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

(a) Schedule. The Panel shall schedule sessions for oral argument and consideration of other matters as desirable or necessary. The Chair shall determine the time, place and agenda for each hearing session. The Clerk of the Panel shall give appropriate notice to counsel for all parties. The Panel may continue its consideration of any scheduled matters.

(b) Oral Argument Statement. Any party affected by a motion may file a separate statement setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard" and shall be limited to 2 pages.

(i) The parties affected by a motion to transfer may agree to waive oral argument. The Panel will take this into consideration in determining the need for oral argument.

(c) Hearing Session. The Panel shall not consider transfer or remand of any action pending in a federal district court when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand without first holding a hearing session for the presentation of oral argument. The Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:

(i) the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or

(ii) the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented and oral argument would not significantly aid the decisional process. Unless otherwise ordered, the Panel shall consider all other matters, such as a motion for reconsideration, upon the basis of the pleadings.

(d) Notification of Oral Argument. The Panel shall promptly notify counsel of those matters in which oral argument is scheduled, as well as those matters that the Panel will consider on the pleadings. The Clerk of the Panel shall require counsel to file and serve notice of their intent to either make or waive oral argument. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument. If counsel does not attend oral argument, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision on the basis of the pleadings filed.

(i) Absent Panel approval and for good cause shown, only those parties to actions who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to present oral argument.

(ii) The Panel will not receive oral testimony except upon notice, motion and an order expressly providing for it.

(e) Duty to Confer. Counsel in an action set for oral argument shall confer separately prior to that argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication. Oral argument is a means for counsel to emphasize the key points of their arguments, and to update the Panel on any events since the conclusion of briefing.

(f) Time Limit for Oral Argument. Barring exceptional circumstances, the Panel shall allot a maximum of 20 minutes for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.